UTP Coalition proposed amendments to the Proposal for a UTP Regulation on cross-border enforcement

The coalition against Unfair Trading Practices (UTPs) welcomes the proposal for an EU regulation on cooperation among enforcement authorities responsible for the enforcement of Directive (EU) 2019/633 on unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain (UTP directive)¹. However, the proposed regulation remains insufficient, as it fails to address significant weaknesses in the enforcement of the UTP Directive, particularly regarding non-EU suppliers' access to complaint mechanisms. This proposal should be aligned with the planned revision of the UTP directive itself, including the addition of a ban on purchasing below the cost of sustainable production to the list of black-listed practices.

The regulation's proposal overlooks suppliers outside the EU, who should receive equal protection when selling directly into the EU market. As stated in Recital 12 of the UTP directive: "Suppliers established outside the Union should also enjoy protection against unfair trading practices when they sell agricultural and food products into the Union".

The European Council's negotiation mandate with the European Parliament² (Article 20a) highlights the lack of cooperation with actors outside the EU but focuses solely on the prospective non-EU buyers. However, non-EU suppliers of the EU market also face UTPs when selling directly to EU buyers and must be meaningfully included in the current proposal to ensure comprehensive enforcement cooperation against unfair trading practices. Addressing this within the scope of the new regulation is essential for ensuring fair market conditions between EU and non-EU suppliers, while fostering ethical and sustainable trade relations.

We welcome the draft report 2024/03183 by Stefano Bonaccini, which references cooperation with suppliers outside the European Union (Article 20a) and takes into consideration third-country representatives in the proposed notification and alert system (Article 8, paragraph 1d)³. We believe that creating a network of enforcing authorities that includes both EU and non-EU actors is essential to ensure good cooperation and information sharing. As non-Eu actors don't have access to appointed UTPs enforcement authorities in their own countries, inclusion of their

¹ EUR-Lex-52024PC0576-EN-EUR-Lex

² Council of the European Union. (2025) <u>Regulation on cross-border enforcement of unfair trading practices (UTPs) - Mandate for negotiations with the European Parliament.</u>

³ European Parliament. (2025) <u>2024/0318(COD)</u> - Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development.

representatives in the European alert system is particularly important. This report also covers unfair trading practices taken by member states under Art.9 of the EU Directive 2019/633 in Art.5, Art. 6 and 7 of the regulation proposal, thereby facilitating coordination among national rules on unfair trading practices. We recommend the AGRI Committee to vote in favour of this report.

It is crucial that the current regulatory proposal addresses the inclusion of buyers and suppliers from outside the European Union. If it fails to do so, the European Commission should urgently introduce a complementary proposal specifically governing the relationship between European enforcement authorities and non-EU actors.

Proposed Amendments

Original text	Amendment	Justification
Recital (3) "Due to the principle of territoriality, enforcement authorities may face difficulties gathering information, finding an infringement and imposing and enforcing fines and other equally effective penalties where a buyer is established in another Member State"	Due to the principle of territoriality, enforcement authorities may face difficulties gathering information, finding an infringement and imposing and enforcing fines and other equally effective penalties where a buyer or supplier is established in another Member State or outside the European Union.	Art.1(2) of UTPs Directive 2019/633 states: "This Directive applies to sales where either the supplier or the buyer, or both, are established in the Union." According to the UTPs Directive, infringements can occur also between an European actor and a supplier or buyer based outside the EU. To ensure full compliance with the UTP Directive the proposal should include reference to non EU- actors.
Recital (4) "However, the Regulation should allow the Member States to decide that their enforcement authorities can make use of the possibility to exchange information established under the mutual assistance mechanism set out by this Regulation in relation to	However, the Regulation should allow the Member States to decide that their enforcement authorities can make use of the possibility to exchange information established under the mutual assistance mechanism set out by this Regulation in relation to such rules. In those cases, the enforcement authorities should still have the right to refuse to comply with such a	We believe that allowing an authority to refuse providing information to another one, would undermine the very objectives of the proposal, which is to facilitate cross-border collaboration by sharing information. In any case this proposal on cooperation among enforcement

		.1 1.1
such rules. In those cases, the	request if the refusal is motivated by reasons listed	
enforcement authorities should still	in this proposal under Art. 10 of this regulation.	national UTP regulations. This will
have the right to refuse to comply		contradict the Directive's overall goal of
with such a request."		combatingunfairtradingpractices
		and art.9 of the UTP Directive.
	Recital 17: NEW (17a)	Art.1(2) of the UTP Directive 2019/633
	Since the Directive (EU) 201G/633 applies to sales	states: "This Directive applies to sales
	where either the supplier or the	where either the supplier or the buyer,
	buyer, or both, are established in the Union,	or both, are established in the Union."
	this Regulation should also concern the	According to the Directive,
	cooperation between European enforcement	infringements can occur also between
	authorities with regulatory bodies, national	an European actor and a supplier or
	export boards, and embassies or other	buyer based outside the EU. Including
	responsible authorities established outside the	this aspect within the scope of the
	EU.	regulation is essential to ensure fair
		market conditions between EU and
		non-EU
		producers while promoting ethical and
A + 0 (4) T1 : D		sustainable trade relationships.
Art. 2 (1) This Regulation applies to the	This Regulation applies to the enforcement of the	Recital 12 of UTP Directive (EU)
enforcement of the prohibition of	prohibition of unfair trading practices in business-	2019/633 clearly states that
unfair trading practices in business-	to-business relationships in the agricultural and	"suppliers established outside the
to-business relationships in the	food supply chain laid down in Article 3(1) and (2) of	Union should also enjoy protection
agricultural and food supply chain	Directive (EU) 2019/633 with a cross-border	against unfair trading practices when
laid down in Article 3(1) and (2) of	dimension which occurs to sales of agricultural	they sell agri-food products into the
Directive (EU) 2019/633 with a cross-	and food products where either the supplier or	Union". Keeping this aspect within the
border dimension.	the buyer, or both, are established in the	scope of the new proposal is essential to
	Union, according to Article 1(2) of Directive	ensure fair market conditions between
	(EU) 2019/633.	EU and non-EU producers while
		promoting ethical and sustainable
		trade relationships.
		Specifying the cross-border dimension

		will increase the compliance with art.
		1(2) of the UTP Directive 2019/633.
Art.3(d)'Unfairtradingpractice with a	'Unfair trading practice with a cross-border	To comply with art. 1(2) of the Directive
cross-border dimension' means any	dimension' means any unfair trading practice	2019/633, cross-border dimension
unfairtrading practice within the	within the meaning of Directive (EU) 2019/633	should apply to sales where either the
meaning of Directive (EU) 2019/633	involving either a supplier or a buyer, or both,	supplier or the buyer, or both, are
involving one supplier and one buyer	established in the Union according to art.1(2)	established in the Union.
that are located in different Member	of the Directive 2019/633. one supplier and one	
States	buyer that are located in different Member States	
Art. 3 (e) Widespread unfair trading	Widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-	To comply with art. 1(2) of the UTP
practice with a cross-border	border dimension' means any unfair trading	Directive 2019/633, cross-border
dimension' means any unfair trading	practice within the meaning of Directive (EU)	dimension should apply to sales where
practice within the meaning of	2019/633 involving at least three Member States or in	either the supplier or the buyer, or
Directive (EU) 2019/633 involving at	cases involving a Member State and non- EU	both, are established in the Union.
leastthree	actors.	
Member States		
Art. 4 Member States shall ensure that		UTP Directive 2019/633 enforcement
enforcement authorities have the	authorities have the necessary resources and	remains weak, with low complaint rates,
necessary resources and expertise for	expertise for the application of this Regulation.	often due to lack of awareness or fear of
the application of this Regulation.	Member States and the European Commission	retaliation. This issue is even more
	should also ensure enforcement authorities	pronounced for non-EU suppliers.
	have enough resources to promote awareness,	FTAO's research⁴ on this topic
	share information and provide advisory	demonstrates both a lack of awareness
	services on the complaint process within the	of the Directive 2019/633, and suggests
	EU and with third countries' regulatory bodies,	ways to improve application in non-EU
	national export	countries. These
	boards, and embassies and EU Delegations.	are mentioned in the amendment.

⁴ Fair Trade Advocacy Office. (2025) Report on the implementation of the EU Unfair Trading Practices Directive beyond the EU – Ecuador.

Art. 5 (4) Member States may decide that enforcement authorities can make use of the possibilities referred to in this Article in relation to national rules within the meaning of Article 9 of Directive (EU) 2019/633. When an applicant enforcement authority makes use of the possibility provided in subparagraph 1, the requested enforcement authority may refuse to provide information, indicating the reasons for the refusal.	Member States may decide that enforcement authorities can make use of the possibilities referred to in this Article in relation to national rules within the meaning of Article 9 of Directive (EU) 2019/633. When an applicant enforcement authority makes use of the possibility provided in subparagraph 1, the requested enforcement authority may refuse to provide information, indicating the reasons for the refusal	We believe that allowing an authority to refuse providing information to another one, would undermine the very objectives of the proposal, which is to facilitate cross-border collaboration by sharing information. In any case the new proposal on cooperation should not undermine national UTP regulations. This will contradict the Directive's overall goal of combating unfair trading practices and art.9 of the UTP Directive.
Art. 11 Language arrangements	(New) 11(3) The languages used by the enforcement authorities for requests, notifications and all other communications for infringements that concern the EU and non-EU actors should be translated at least in English, French and Spanish. The European Commission should provide resources to comply with translation requirements.	
Art. 13(5) In order to establish that an enforcement authority is concerned by a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension all elements	Art. 13 (5) In order to establish that an enforcement authority is concerned by a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension all elements shall be taken into account and in particular:	Art.1(2) of the UTP Directive 2019/633 states: "This Directive applies to sales where either the supplier or the buyer, or both, are established in the Union." According to the Directive, infringements can occur also between a European

shall be taken into account and in	a) the Member States where the buyers are	actor and a supplier or buyer based
particular:	established;	outside the EU. Keeping this aspect
		within the scope of the new proposal is
a) the Member States where the	b) the Member States or the non-EU state where the	essential to ensure fair market
buyers are established;	suppliers that may be affected by the unfair trading	conditions between EU and non-EU
b) the Member States where the suppliers that may be affected by the unfair trading practice are established.	practice are established.	producers while promoting ethical and sustainable trade relationships.

About the UTP Coalition

The UTP Coalition brings together civil society organisations, farmers' associations, and Fair Trade movement organisations that have been collaborating since early 2017 to combat unfair trading practices in food and agricultural supply chains. The coalition has played an active role at the European level, contributing to the development of the UTP Directive, as well as at the national level, supporting its effective transposition into domestic legislations. The UTP coalition has recently developed a <u>position paper</u>⁵ outlining the urgent need for a comprehensive revision of the UTP Directive.

Signatories:

Oxfam
Fair Trade Advocacy Office (FTAO)
COLEAD
Fairtrade International

⁵ Coalition against Unfair Trading Practices. (2024) <u>Position paper on the evaluation of Directive (EU) 2019/633 regarding Unfair Trading Practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain Coalition against Unfair Trading Practices.</u>